

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/544,259	Applicant(s) ALTENSCHOPFER ET AL.	
	Examiner S. Tran	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) S. Tran.

(2) Stephen E. Reiter.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) incooperate the limitation of claim 8 into all independent claims; 2) correct the 112, first and second paragraph rejections; and 3) amend the phrase "comprising" in line 4 to "consisting essentially". Applicant's attorney instead proposed to incorporate limitations of claims 2 and 3 into claim 1. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

